

passed by unanimous vote.

Show Cause Hearing: Mazzola, 30 Inner Harbor Road (filling marsh)

Michael Lesburg, architect from Boston representing Frank & Geraldine Mazzola were present at the hearing. Conservation chairman was out walking the beach and noticed activity. Agent went out took pictures, primary dune was moved and filled salt marsh, 7' or 8' high dune on back side of beach, excavated for parking space; wooden walls being constructed; and bobcat on beach. Problems earlier last year with DPW. Talked to property owner, told DPW not to move sand around without filing. Mazzola's position is they didn't pile anything at the salt marsh. Moved sand away from home and piled up toward the beach side of home. There are 3 or 4 layers of snow fencing with sand mounded around them. Looks like a wooden wall is being constructed; 4 x 4 posts and slats. Diverting wall on beach side of home has been there a long time, boards rot away, and replaced some. Trying to protect home from piles of sand rotting the sill. In order to do anything like that, need to file with the Commission. Have applied for a grant to raise the house. Did not do anything on marsh side. Talked to agent in February and thought there was some sort of determination. Who was the contractor? Rented back hoe. There was no contractor, family members did the work. If contractors are involved, they should receive an Enforcement Order also. Wall is being constructed outside property line, on town property. Town is not plowing street again. For the protection of that house sand dune needs to be reestablished. If the storm comes through there won't be any house to protect. Have allowed to maintain a walkway around the house. MC: That should be done under a Notice of Intent. Town Administrator has received proposals for work in that area. Recommend reestablishment of the dune, or piggyback onto what the town will be doing later. Bring sand in. Continue hearing and come back with a plan to move sand back or bring sand in and plant dune grass. Work directly with the agent and get it done as soon as possible. Want to see wooden fence removed. Town is looking for a solution; all the people on the beach will be notified.

Wetlands Hearing: Ricci, 25 Harvard Street (septic) (cont.)

Bob Crawford, from E.E.T., Inc. was present at the hearing. No Board of Health approval. Motion to continue to October 16, 2006 at 7:30 p.m. Mr. Urbanski. Second Ms. Coyle. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: White, 7 Tilden Avenue (septic) (cont.)

No DEP approval. Motion to continue to October 16, 2006 at 7:30 p.m. Mr. Urbanski. Second Mr. Conway. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: O'Keefe, 32 Blanchard Road (2 car garage & driveway) (cont.)

Applicant's representative requested a continuance, need foundation plans stamped. Plans were submitted at tonight's meeting. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Urbanski. Second Mr. Conway. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Murphy, 42 Moorland Road (raze/rebuild) (cont.)

Attorney Bill Ohrenberger and Rod Gaskell, from SITEC were present at the hearing. Submitted cover letter with 5 items: 1) sewer connection added, Weston & Sampson provided elevations, 11.86', flow without a pump; 2) water connection added; 3) 19' contour at the northeast corner adjusted; 4) asphalt parking replaced with pervious pavers, detail provided; was going to take out concrete wall, but in good shape, will face with natural stone, widened steps and walkway to the house; 5) supporting calcs for infiltration systems, did calcs that support a 0.5" storm event as requested, and widening parking. Not well thought out landscaping around the house. Simply grass, identified large trees. Asked if Commission would consider an order accepting revisions to landscaping and planting plan after a landscape architect was retained. MC: tearing out existing concrete steps? No, would like them to remain. Brian Kelly, lived in house 18 years: Stairs are essential, used by homeowners and town employees to clean drain. Herbaceous growth can handle some impacts. Density of 30 plants enough for 120'-130' of bank? Not really. Add 10 more plants. Rosa rugosa will do well out there and beach plum. Submit plan before setting the orders to show walkway to the stairs. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Urbanski. Second Mr. Conway. Motion passed by a 5 to 1 vote with 1 abstention.

Wetlands Hearing: Park & Quinn, 7 Surfside Road (septic) (cont.)

Received Board of Health approval. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Clark. Second Mr. Urbanski. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: O'Brien, 21 Dartmouth Street (deck 20'x30')

Mrs. O'Brien was present at the hearing. She would like to step out of the kitchen onto a raised deck. Shed will be removed. Full cellar with bulkhead, which will be accessible. Deck at elevation 13', flood zone AO depth 2'. Motion for a negative determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." - that the deck shall have a minimum of 2' clearance above ground level Mr. Clark. Second Mr. Conway. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Warner, 134-166 Front Street (redevelopment) (cont.)

Attorney Bill Ohrenberger, Steve Warner, and Paul Mirabito, from Ross Engineering Co. were present at the hearing. Met with Mr. Clark & Mr. Kalishes and came to an agreement. Copy of layout plan and revised drawings. Highlighted major revisions. Green strip is rain garden 4 times larger than previously proposed. Rain garden broken up into 4 sections, detail on sheet 8, drain under rain garden. Grading: picked site up anywhere from 6" to 14' or 15". 8" contour close to sidewalk. 1-1/2% slope directed to rain garden. Low point, 15" in the most critical area. Alleviates the problem of the emergency access. By changing grading, it stops some of the possible flooding. Sheet 8 proposed plants. Prior to construction final plant list will be submitted. Elevated sidewalk. Improves safety and provides a nice green strip. Mr. Bucca, adequate curb cutting for ADA? Yes. 3 accesses. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Conway. Second Mr. Clark. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Ames, 950 Glades Road (partial reconstruction of seasonal cottage/foundation/decks/well)

Carlos Pena, from CLE Engineering and Charles Ames were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Existing cottage built approximately 1870. Last few years, routine foundation and structural work; seasonal water service usually freezes. Replacing existing foundation, rebuilding a portion of the cottage, and digging a well. Filed with FEMA for a map revision; revision submitted. Now in Zone X. Increase the net footprint by 47 sq. feet. 45 are outside the 100' buffer zone. Existing septic system. Spoke to Board of Health, decreasing the number of bedrooms. Expansion of cottage and leaching field outside the 100' buffer zone. Existing lawn area is the approximate location for the well; ran into ledge at 12'. No plan for collecting sediment of the well. Hay bale line shown. All work within the hay bale line. Motion to close the hearing Mr. Clark. Second Mr. Urbanski. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Town of Scituate, Rte. 3A at Henry Turner Bailey Road (roadway improvements)

Edward Hutchinson, from Rizzo Associates and Mark Folbert were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Mitigation for Greenbush project; changing to a T intersection. Resource areas: Riverfront area, BVW, Bound Brook, Impacting with additional impervious area. Net increase in the riverfront area? 2500 square feet. No direct impact to the wetlands. Treat the drainage and improve the situation. Discharge to culvert at Bound Brook? Don't know. Using existing drainage system. An opportunity to provide storm water treatment to Bound Brook. Whole intersection potentially rerouted so it discharges differently. Two new catch basins and manholes with no treatment. Need to come up with treatment. Want drainage rethought, not just tie into existing drainage. Preferably loam and seed, or low growing vegetation. Planting would be part of improvements to drainage. Hesitate to do much more. Town is building it; Mass Highway property. Plenty of property in the town's right of way. Mass Highway contact would be Mark Carmichael. Need stamped drawings. Motion to continue to October 30, 2006 at 7:30 p.m. Mr. Urbanski. Second Ms. Coyle. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Madden, 19 Roberts Drive (enclose deck and raise roof)

Skip Joseph and Jenny Madden-Joseph were present at the hearing. Abutters notification was submitted. Changed project, keeping to existing footprint and going up a second story and not enclosing the deck. No new foundation. Cost too much. Farmers porch in front. No Enforcement Order on 19 Roberts, it is on McLean Drive. Site plan is the only valid plan. Roberts Drive side will have the farmers porch. We need a plan that shows exactly what will be done on the site. Show sewer connection at the same time. Sewer connection for this house is going down Roberts Drive; easements down driveway and across property lines for Roberts and Sunset Drive. Need another site plan to show where the footings will be going. Landscaping, paving, etc. show everything. No DEP #. Motion to continue to October 16, 2006 at 7:30 p.m. Mr. Urbanski. Second Mr. Conway. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: McCarthy, 75 Gilson Road (raze/rebuild) (cont.)

Herb Kuendig was present at the hearing. Mr. Kalishes spoke to Nils Anderson at Briggs, not going to certify anything until foundation was done. Need to do test borings for this house. Do geotechnical borings and

